

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/580,194	Applicant(s) KUHMANN ET AL.
	Examiner WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	Art Unit 1782

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH.

(3) _____.

(2) Jacob A. Doughty.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2010**Time:** ~3:00PM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner proposed Examiner's Amendment as detailed in the attached Notice of Allowance. Jacob A. Doughty authorized the Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Walter B Aughenbaugh /
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)